

SCALING OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION WITH MULTIPOLE ORDER

J.C. Sprott

PLP 821

November 1979

Plasma Studies

University of Wisconsin

These PLP Reports are informal and preliminary and as such may contain errors not yet eliminated. They are for private circulation only and are not to be further transmitted without consent of the authors and major professor.

SCALING OF SYNCHROTRON RADIATION WITH MULTIPOLE ORDER

J.C. Sprott

Kerst (PLP 819) has estimated the synchrotron radiation loss for a low beta multipole of arbitrary order. This note addresses the same problem using a simpler and more general flux-space argument. Also included are some useful, numerically-derived, scaling laws for maximum field and stable flux as a function of multipole order.

The synchrotron radiated power from a volume dV containing plasma with density n , non-relativistic electron temperature T_e , and magnetic field B is given by (Rose and Clark, page 251):

$$dP = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} B^2 n T_e dV$$

All units are mks except T_e which is in eV. Averaging over a flux tube containing magnetic flux $d\psi$ gives

$$\frac{dP}{d\psi} = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} B^2 n T_e \frac{dV}{d\psi} = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} \oint B^2 n T_e \frac{d\ell}{B}$$

where $d\ell$ is a unit length parallel to \vec{B} .

If n and T_e are constant along a field line, the radiated power can be written as

$$\frac{dP}{d\psi} = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} n T_e \oint B d\ell$$

From Ampere's law, $\oint B d\ell$ is just μ_0 times the total hoop current I_T , provided β is negligible. (For high β , one would also include the diamagnetic current

enclosed by the flux surface.) Hence the total synchrotron radiated power is

$$P = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} \mu_0 I_T \int nT_e d\psi$$

which can be written in a convenient, machine-independent form:

$$P = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} \mu_0 I_T \langle nT_e \rangle \psi_T$$

where the brackets $\langle \rangle$ denote a flux space average, and ψ_T is the total flux in the device. This relation has been verified by numerical integration of the Larmor radiation formula for a particle trapped near the separatrix of various order multipoles.

In order to proceed further with a determination of the influence of multipole order on radiation losses, it is necessary to have relations between I_T , ψ_T , and maximum field. A numerical calculation was done for an ideal, linear multipole in which N current filaments with equal currents were placed uniformly around a circle of radius a . The maximum field on the separatrix and the flux per unit length L between the separatrix and ψ -critical were then calculated for various N . The total flux ψ_T was assumed equal to twice the flux between the separatrix and ψ -critical, in order to account for the private flux. The results, normalized to the same maximum field on the separatrix, are listed in the table on page 3, assuming a constant ψ -space distribution of electron energy density.

<u>N</u>	<u>I_T(MA)/Ba</u>	<u>ψ_T(webers)/BaL</u>	<u>P(watts)/nT_e²a²L</u>
2 (quadrupole)	3.55	0.127	3.52 × 10 ⁻²⁰
3 (hexapole)	3.17	0.176	4.35 × 10 ⁻²⁰
4 (octupole)	3.00	0.177	4.14 × 10 ⁻²⁰
5	2.92	0.168	3.82 × 10 ⁻²⁰
6 (dodecapole)	2.85	0.157	3.48 × 10 ⁻²⁰
8	2.79	0.137	2.98 × 10 ⁻²⁰
10	2.75	0.126	2.69 × 10 ⁻²⁰
20	2.70	0.080	1.68 × 10 ⁻²⁰
50	2.62	0.041	0.84 × 10 ⁻²⁰
(tokamak)			19.48 × 10 ⁻²⁰

The tokamak case was calculated simply from

$$P = 6.2 \times 10^{-20} B^2 n_e^2 \pi a^2 L$$

and so it is representative of any circular cross-section device in which the magnetic field is essentially uniform. Low order multipoles have typically 5 to 10 times less synchrotron radiation than the corresponding tokamak, and the advantage increases linearly with order at very high order. An additional improvement of $\langle nT_e \rangle_\psi / \langle nT_e \rangle_V$ could be expected if the ψ -space averaged electron density in a multipole is smaller than the volume-averaged electron energy density in a tokamak. A first order relativistic correction can be included by replacing T_e with $T_e(1 + T_e/204000)$ everywhere. Finite β and reabsorption of the radiation will, of course, alter these conclusions.